

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
SOUTHEASTERN DIVISION**

HOSEA L. ROBINSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANITA CLARKE, et al.,

Defendants.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

No. 1:20-CV-103-AGF

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal. As discussed in the Memorandum and Order issued May 13, 2020, plaintiff has accumulated more than three "strikes" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)<sup>1</sup>, and he does not qualify for the imminent danger exception. *See* ECF Nos. 5, 6. Therefore, plaintiff may not proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal.

Accordingly,


**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal (ECF No. 9) is **DENIED**.

---

<sup>1</sup> *See Robinson v. City of St. Louis Division of Corrections, et al.*, No. 4:16-cv-1535-RWS (E.D. Mo. Dec. 30, 2016); *Robinson v. State of Missouri*, No. 4:18-cv-114-RLW (E.D. Mo. Jan. 29, 2018); *Robinson v. State of Missouri, et al.*, No. 4:18-cv-1225-JAR (E.D. Mo. Oct. 24, 2018); *Robinson v. State of Missouri, et al.*, No. 1:18-cv-297-NCC (E.D. Mo. Apr. 23, 2019); *Robinson v. State of Missouri, et al.*, No. 1:19-cv-99-SPM (E.D. Mo. Sept. 4, 2019); and *Robinson v. City of St. Louis, et al.*, 4:19-cv-1767-CDP (E.D. Mo. Sept. 4, 2019).

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff shall file any future documents or pleadings in connection with his appeal directly with the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit.

Dated this 26th day of May, 2020.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
AUDREY G. FLEISSIG  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE